

STAR-OPERATIONS INDUCED BY OVERRINGS

D.D. Anderson

Department of Mathematics
The University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa 52242

Let D be a commutative integral domain. A $*$ -operation on D is a mapping $F \rightarrow F^*$ on the set of nonzero fractional ideals of D satisfying certain properties which will be given in the next paragraph. An important example of a $*$ -operation is the v -operation. If $\{P_\alpha\}$ is a collection of prime ideals of D with $D = \bigcap P_\alpha$, then the mapping $F \rightarrow F^* = \bigcap F P_\alpha$ is a $*$ -operation satisfying $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for all nonzero fractional ideals A and B of D . Moreover, if A is a nonzero ideal of D with $A^* \neq D$, then A is contained in a prime ideal P of D with $P^* = P$. The purpose of this paper is to prove, conversely, that if $*$ is a $*$ -operation on D with the properties that $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for all nonzero fractional ideals A and B of D and that each proper integral $*$ -ideal is contained in a prime

*-ideal, then the *-operation is given by $A \rightarrow A^* = \bigcap_{\alpha} AD_{P_{\alpha}}$ for some collection $\{P_{\alpha}\}$ of prime ideals of D with $D = \bigcap_{\alpha} D_{P_{\alpha}}$. As a corollary, we obtain the interesting result that a Noetherian domain D has the property that $(A \cap B)_v = A_v \cap B_v$ for all nonzero fractional ideals A and B of D if and only if for each grade one prime ideal P of D , D_P is a (one-dimensional) Corenstein domain.

Let D be a commutative integral domain with quotient field K . Let $F(D)$ denote the set of nonzero fractional ideals of D and let $f(D)$ denote the subset of finitely generated members of $F(D)$. A mapping $F \rightarrow F^*$ of $F(D)$ into $F(D)$ is called a *-operation on D if the following conditions hold for all $a \in K - \{0\}$ and $A, B \in F(D)$:

- (1) $(a)^* = (a)$, $(aA)^* = aA^*$;
- (2) $A \subseteq A^*$, if $A \subseteq B$, then $A^* \subseteq B^*$; and
- (3) $(A^*)^* = A^*$.

A fractional ideal $A \in F(D)$ is called a *-ideal if $A = A^*$.

A *-operation $*$ on D is said to be of finite character if $A^* = \bigcup \{J^* \mid J \subseteq A \text{ with } J \in f(D)\}$ for each $A \in F(D)$. If $*$ is a *-operation on D , then the function $F \rightarrow F^{*s}$ of $F(D)$ into $F(D)$ given by $F^{*s} = \bigcup \{J^* \mid J \subseteq F \text{ with } J \in f(D)\}$ is a finite character *-operation on D . Clearly $A^* = A^{*s}$ for each

$A \in f(D)$. Recall that the function on $F(D)$ defined by $A \rightarrow A_v = (A^{-1})^{-1}$ is a $*$ -operation on D called the v -operation. The t -operation on D is given by $t = v_s$, or equivalently, $A_t = \bigcup \{J_v \mid J \subseteq A \text{ with } J \in f(D)\}$. The reader may consult [2, section 32 and 34] for the basic properties of $*$ -operations and the v -operation. The reader is also referred to [2] for definitions and notation not defined here.

Let $*$ be a $*$ -operation on D . It is easily proved that for $\{A_\alpha\} \subseteq F(D)$ with $\Sigma A_\alpha \in F(D)$, then $(\Sigma A_\alpha)^* = (\Sigma A_\alpha^*)^*$ and for $A, B \in F(D)$, $(AB)^* = (A^*B^*)^*$. This raises the natural question of whether $(\cap A_\alpha)^* = (\cap A_\alpha^*)^*$ for each collection $\{A_\alpha\} \subseteq F(D)$ with $\cap A_\alpha \neq 0$. Since we always have $\cap A_\alpha^* = (\cap A_\alpha^*)^*$ provided $\cap A_\alpha \neq 0$, the question becomes whether $\cap A_\alpha^* = (\cap A_\alpha)^*$. As we will see, this is a very strong condition. In fact, if $*$ has finite character, $\cap A_\alpha^* = (\cap A_\alpha)^*$ for all $\{A_\alpha\} \subseteq f(D)$ with $\cap A_\alpha \neq 0$ if and only if $*$ is the identity $*$ -operation $A \rightarrow A^* = A$.

Now even for D Noetherian, we need not have $(A \cap B)_v = A_v \cap B_v$ for nonzero integral ideals A and B of D , as the following example due to W. Heinzer shows. Let k be a field and let $D = k[[X^3, X^4, X^5]]$. Then D is a one-dimensional local domain with maximal ideal $M = (X^3, X^4, X^5)$. Let $A = (X^3, X^4)$ and $B = (X^3, X^5)$. Then $A_v = B_v = M$; but $A \cap B = (X^3)$, so $(A \cap B)_v = (X^3) \subsetneq M = A_v \cap B_v$.

Suppose that $\{D_\alpha\}$ is a collection of overrings of D with $D = \bigcap D_\alpha$. Then the mapping $A \rightarrow A^* = \bigcap AD_\alpha$ is a $*$ -operation on D and $AD_\alpha = A^*D_\alpha$ for each α and each $A \in F(D)$. The proof of this well-known result may be found in [2, Theorem 32.5]. We will be mostly interested in the case where each $D_\alpha = D_{P_\alpha}$ for some prime ideal P_α of D . Some important properties of this type of $*$ -operation are given in our first theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\alpha\}$ be a set of nonzero prime ideals of D with $D = \bigcap_{P_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}} D_{P_\alpha}$. For $A \in F(D)$, define $A^* = \bigcap AD_{P_\alpha}$.

- (1) The mapping $A \rightarrow A^*$ defines a $*$ -operation on D . Moreover, $AD_{P_\alpha} = A^*D_{P_\alpha}$ for each α and each $A \in F(D)$.
- (2) $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for $A, B \in F(D)$.
- (3) $(A :_D B)^* = (A^* :_D B^*)$ for $A \in F(D)$ and $B \in f(D)$.
- (4) $P_\alpha^* = P_\alpha$ for each $P_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$.
- (5) If A is an integral ideal of D with $A^* \neq D$, then $A \subseteq P_\alpha$ for some α . Hence each proper integral $*$ -ideal of D is contained in a prime $*$ -ideal.

- (6) If $D = \bigcap_{P_\alpha} D_{P_\alpha}$ is locally finite (i.e., each $0 \neq d \in D$ is a unit in almost all D_{P_α}), then $*$ has finite character.

Proof. The proof of (1) is a special case of the result mentioned in the paragraph preceding Theorem 1.

(2) Let $A, B \in F(D)$. Then $(A \cap B)^* = \bigcap (A \cap B)_{P_\alpha} = \bigcap (A_{P_\alpha} \cap B_{P_\alpha}) = (\bigcap A_{P_\alpha}) \cap (\bigcap B_{P_\alpha}) = A^* \cap B^*$.

(3) Let $A, B \in F(D)$ with B finitely generated. Now $(A : B)B \subseteq A$, so $(A : B)^* B^* \subseteq A^*$. Hence $(A : B)^* \subseteq (A^* : B^*)$. Conversely, let $d \in (A^* : B^*)$. Then $dB^* \subseteq A^*$ so $dB_{P_\alpha} = dB^*_{P_\alpha} \subseteq A^*_{P_\alpha} = AD_{P_\alpha}$. Hence $d \in (AD_{P_\alpha} : BD_{P_\alpha}) = (A : B)_{P_\alpha}$, where the

last equality follows since B is finitely generated. But then $d \in \bigcap (A : B)_{P_\alpha} = (A : B)^*$.

(4) Now $P_\alpha = P_\alpha D_{P_\alpha} \cap D = P_\alpha^* D_{P_\alpha} \cap D \supseteq P_\alpha^* \supseteq P_\alpha$, so $P_\alpha^* = P_\alpha$.

(5) Since $A^* \neq D$, for some α , $AD_{P_\alpha} \neq D_{P_\alpha}$. Hence $A \subseteq AD_{P_\alpha} \cap D \subseteq P_\alpha D_{P_\alpha} \cap D = P_\alpha$.

(6) It suffices to prove that if A is a non-zero integral ideal of D and $0 \neq x \in A^*$, then $x \in A_0^*$ for some finitely generated ideal $A_0 \subseteq A$.

Choose $0 \neq a \in A$. Then a is a unit in each D_{p_α} , except, say for $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Now for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $x \in A^*_{D_{p_{\alpha_i}}} = AD_{p_{\alpha_i}}$, so there is a finitely generated ideal $A_{\alpha_i} \subseteq A$ with $x \in A_{\alpha_i} D_{p_{\alpha_i}}$. Put $A_0 = (a) + A_{\alpha_1} + \dots + A_{\alpha_n}$. Then $A_0 \subseteq A$ is a finitely generated ideal and $x \in A_0 D_{p_\alpha}$ for each α , so $x \in \bigcap A_0 D_{p_\alpha} = A_0^*$.

A variation of (5) of Theorem 1 appears in [8].

While Theorem 1 is sufficient for our needs, several of the parts of Theorem 1 may be greatly generalized. Suppose that $\{D_\alpha\}$ is a collection of over-rings of D with $D = \bigcap D_\alpha$. Suppose that for each α , there is a $*$ -operation $*_\alpha$ on D_α . Then the mapping $A \rightarrow A^* = \bigcap (AD_\alpha)^{*\alpha}$ is a $*$ -operation on D . If we take each $*_\alpha$ to be the identity $*$ -operation on D_α , we get the previously defined $*$ -operation $A \rightarrow A^* = \bigcap AD_\alpha$. Observe that we can take each $D_\alpha = D$, so that each $*_\alpha$ is a $*$ -operation on D . In Theorem 2 we state without proof some properties of this $*$ -operation. The proof of Theorem 2 is similar to the proof of [2, Theorem 32.5] and Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Let D be an integral domain and let

$\{D_\alpha\}$ be a collection of overrings of D with $D = \bigcap D_\alpha$. For each D_α , let $*_\alpha$ be a $*$ -operation defined on D_α . For $A \in F(D)$, put $A^* = \bigcap (AD_\alpha)^{*\alpha}$.

- (1) The mapping $A \rightarrow A^*$ induces a $*$ -operation on D . Moreover, $(A^*_{D_\alpha})^{*\alpha} = (AD_\alpha)^{*\alpha}$ for each α and each $A \in F(D)$.
- (2) If each D_α is a flat overring and each $*_\alpha$ satisfies $(A \cap B)^{*\alpha} = A^{*\alpha} \cap B^{*\alpha}$ for all $A, B \in F(D_\alpha)$, then $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for all $A, B \in F(D)$.
- (3) If each D_α is a flat overring and each $*_\alpha$ satisfies $(A : B)^{*\alpha}_{D_\alpha} = (A^{*\alpha} : B^{*\alpha})_{D_\alpha}$ for all $A \in F(D_\alpha)$ and $B \in f(D_\alpha)$, then $(A : B)^*_D = (A^* : B^*)_D$ for all $A \in F(D)$ and $B \in f(D)$.
- (4) If $D = \bigcap D_\alpha$ is locally finite and each $*_\alpha$ has finite character, then $*$ has finite character.

THEOREM 3. Let $*$ be a $*$ -operation on D . Let $\mathcal{S} = \{P_\alpha\}$ be a set of prime ideals of D with the property that each proper integral $*$ -ideal of D is contained in some P_α . Then $A \rightarrow A' = \bigcap AD_{P_\alpha}$ is a $*$ -operation on D and $A' \subseteq A^*$ for each $A \in F(D)$.

Proof. Let A be a proper ideal of D of the form $A = ((a) : (b))_D$ where $a, b \in D$. Then A is a $*$ -ideal. By hypothesis $A \subseteq P_\alpha$ for some α . Hence by [2, exercise 22, page 52], $D = \bigcap P_\alpha$. Hence $A \rightarrow A' = \bigcap P_\alpha$ is a $*$ -operation on D . Let $0 \neq x \in A'$. For $P_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, $A' \subseteq A'D_{P_\alpha} = AD_{P_\alpha}$, so $x = a/s$ where $a \in A$ and $s \in D - P_\alpha$. Then $s \in (A : x)_D$, so $(A : x)_D \not\subseteq P_\alpha$. By hypothesis, $(A : x)_D^* = D$. Hence $x \in xD = x(A : x)_D^* = (x(A : x)_D)^*_D \subseteq A^*$.

Now in general, a proper integral $*$ -ideal of D need not be contained in a prime $*$ -ideal. For example, if (V, \mathbb{M}) is a rank one nondiscrete valuation domain, then $\mathbb{M}_V = V$. Hence V has no prime $*$ -ideals. Note that $(A \cap B)_V = A_V \cap B_V$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, but v is not induced by a collection of overrings of V . However, suppose that $*$ has finite character. Then it is well-known and easily proved (for example, see [5]) that each proper integral $*$ -ideal of D is contained in a maximal proper integral $*$ -ideal and that a maximal proper integral $*$ -ideal is prime. We will denote the set of maximal proper integral $*$ -ideals of D by $*\text{-Max}(D)$.

COROLLARY 3.1. Let $*$ be a $*$ -operation on D of finite character. Then $A \rightarrow A' = \bigcap \{AD_P \mid P \in *-\text{Max}(D)\}$ is a $*$ -operation on D and $A' \subseteq A^*$ for each $A \in F(D)$.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 4. Let $*$ be a $*$ -operation on D . Then the following conditions on $*$ are equivalent.

- (1) There is a collection $\mathcal{S} = \{P_\alpha\}$ of prime ideals of D with $D = \bigcap D_{P_\alpha}$ so that $A^* = \bigcap AD_{P_\alpha}$ for each $A \in F(D)$.
- (2) (a) $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for all (integral) $A, B \in F(D)$.
- (b) Each proper integral $*$ -ideal of D is contained in a prime $*$ -ideal.
- (3) (a) $(A : B)_D^* = (A^* : B^*)_D$ for all (integral) $A \in F(D)$ and $B \in f(D)$.
- (b) Each proper integral $*$ -ideal of D is contained in a prime $*$ -ideal.

Moreover, if either (2) or (3) is satisfied, then we can take $\mathcal{S} = \{P_\alpha\}$ to be any collection of prime $*$ -ideals of D with the property that each proper integral $*$ -ideal of D is contained in some P_α .

Proof. The implications $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ and $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ follow from Theorem 1. Suppose that (2) or (3) holds. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{P_\alpha\}$ be a collection of prime $*$ -ideals of D with the property that each proper integral $*$ -ideal of D is contained in some P_α . For $A \in F(D)$, define $A' = \bigcap_{\alpha} AD_{P_\alpha}$. By Theorem 3, $A \rightarrow A'$ is a $*$ -operation on D and $A' \subseteq A^*$ for each $A \in F(D)$.

$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $A \in F(D)$. Let $0 \neq x \in A^*$. Now $(x) = A^* \cap (x) = A^* \cap (x)^* = (A \cap (x))^*$
 $= ((A : x)(x))^* = (A : x)^*(x)$, so $D = (A : x)^*$.
Hence $(A : x) \not\subseteq P_\alpha$ for any $P_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$. Hence $x \in AD_{P_\alpha}$, so $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha} AD_{P_\alpha} = A'$. Hence $A^* = A' = \bigcap_{\alpha} AD_{P_\alpha}$.

$(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $0 \neq x \in A^*$. Then $D = (A^* : x)$
 $= (A^* : (x)^*) = (A : x)^*$. The proof that $A^* = A'$ now is identical to the proof of $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. We remark that if either condition 2(a) or 3(a) holds for integral ideals, then it holds for fractional ideals as well.

COROLLARY 4.1. Let $*$ be a $*$ -operation on D . Suppose that each maximal ideal of D is a $*$ -ideal. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for all nonzero integral ideals A and B of D .

(2) $(A :_D B)^* = (A^* :_D B^*)$ for all nonzero integral ideals A and B of D with B finitely generated.

(3) Every nonzero ideal of D is a $*$ -ideal.

Proof. Apply Theorem 4 with \mathcal{P} being the set of all maximal ideals of D .

COROLLARY 4.2. Let $*$ be a finite character $*$ -operation on D . Then the following conditions on $*$ are equivalent.

(1) $A^* = \bigcap \{AD_P \mid P \in *-\text{Max}(D)\}$ for all $A \in F(D)$.

(2) $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for all $A, B \in F(D)$.

(3) $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for all finitely generated nonzero integral ideals A and B of D .

(4) $(A :_D B)^* = (A^* :_D B^*)$ for all $A \in F(D)$ and $B \in f(D)$.

(5) $(A :_D B)^* = (A^* :_D B^*)$ for all finitely generated nonzero integral ideals A and B of D .

Proof. By Theorem 4, (1), (2) and (4) are equivalent. Clearly (2) \Rightarrow (3) and (4) \Rightarrow (5).

(3) \Rightarrow (1). The proof of this implication is a slight modification of the proof that (2) \Rightarrow (1) in Theorem 4. Let $A' = \bigcap \{AD_P \mid P \in *-\text{Max}(D)\}$. Then A'

$\subseteq A^*$. Let $0 \neq x \in A^*$. Then $x \in A_1^*$ where $A_1 \subseteq A$ is finitely generated. Observing that the condition $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for integral $A, B \in f(D)$ in (3) easily carries over to all $A, B \in f(D)$, we conclude as in (2) \Rightarrow (1) of Theorem 4 that $D = (A_1 : x)_D^*$. Hence $(A_1 : x)_D \not\subseteq P$ for each $P \in *-\text{Max}(D)$, so $x \in \bigcap_{P \in *-\text{Max}(D)} AD_P \subseteq \bigcap AD_P = A'$. The proof that (5) \Rightarrow (1) is a slight modification of the proof that (3) \Rightarrow (1).

COROLLARY 4.3. Let D be an integral domain and $*$ a $*$ -operation on D . Suppose that D satisfies ACC on integral $*$ -ideals. If either $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$ for all $A, B \in f(D)$ or $(A : B)_D^* = (A^* : B^*)_D^*$ for all $A, B \in f(D)$, then D_P is Noetherian for each $P \in *-\text{Max}(D)$.

Proof. Since D satisfies ACC on integral $*$ -ideals, $*$ has finite character. By Corollary 4.2, $A^* = \bigcap \{AD_P \mid P \in *-\text{Max}(D)\}$ for each $A \in F(D)$. Let A be a nonzero ideal of D . Since D has ACC on integral $*$ -ideals, there is a finitely generated ideal $B \subseteq A$ with $B^* = A^*$. But then $AD_P = A^*D_P = B^*D_P = BD_P$ is finitely generated. Since every ideal of D_P has the form AD_P for some ideal A of D , D_P is Noetherian.

Theorem 4 may be strengthened if we restrict ourselves to the v -operation. Recall that an integral domain satisfying ACC on integral v -ideals is called a Mori domain. Examples of Mori domains are Noetherian domains and Krull domains.

THEOREM 5. Let D be a Mori domain. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) $A_v = \bigcap \{AD_P \mid P \in v\text{-Max}(D)\}$ for each $A \in F(D)$.
- (2) $(A \cap B)_v = A_v \cap B_v$ for all $A, B \in F(D)$.
- (3) $(A \cap B)_v = A_v \cap B_v$ for all finitely generated nonzero integral ideals A and B of D .
- (4) $(A : B)_v = (A_v : B_v)$ for all $A \in F(D)$ and $B \in f(D)$.
- (5) $(A : B)_v = (A_v : B_v)$ for all nonzero finitely generated integral ideals A and B of D .
- (6) For each maximal v -ideal P of D , D_P is a one-dimensional Gorenstein domain.
- (7) For each height one prime ideal P of D , D_P is Gorenstein and $D = \bigcap \{D_P \mid \text{ht } P = 1\}$.
- (8) $A_v = \bigcap \{AD_P \mid \text{ht } P = 1\}$ for each $A \in F(D)$.

Proof. Since D is a Mori domain, v has finite character. By Corollary 4.2, (1)-(5) are all equivalent.

(1) \Rightarrow (6). Let P be a maximal v -ideal of D . By Corollary 4.3, D_P is Noetherian. For each non-zero ideal A of D , $AD_P = A_v D_P$. But since D is a Mori domain, $A_v D_P$ is a v -ideal of D_P (see, for example, [4, Proposition 1.1]). Hence D_P is a Noetherian domain in which every nonzero ideal is a v -ideal. So D_P is a one-dimensional Gorenstein domain [7, Theorem 222].

(6) \Rightarrow (7). Let P be a height one prime ideal of D . It follows from [5, Théorème 9, page 30] that P is a v -ideal. Let $P \subseteq Q$ where Q is a maximal v -ideal. By (6), D_Q is a one-dimensional Gorenstein domain. Hence $P = Q$. So the set of maximal v -ideals of D coincides with the set of height one prime ideals of D . Hence D_P is Gorenstein for each height one prime ideal of D . Also, $D = \bigcap \{D_P \mid \text{ht } P = 1\}$ since we always have $D = \bigcap D_P$ where P runs over the set of maximal v -ideals.

(7) \Rightarrow (8). Put $A' = \bigcap \{AD_P \mid \text{ht } P = 1\}$. By Theorem 1, $A \rightarrow A'$ is a $*$ -operation on D . Hence for $A \in F(D)$, we have $A' \subseteq A_v$. Conversely, let $0 \neq x \in A_v$. Let P be a height one prime ideal of D . Now $x \in A_{1v}$ where $A_1 \subseteq A$ is finitely generated. Then $x \in (A_{1v})D_P \subseteq (A_1 D_P)_v \subseteq (AD_P)_v = AD_P$ with the last equality following since each ideal of D_P

is a v -ideal. Hence $x \in \bigcap \{AD_P \mid \text{ht } P = 1\} = A'$. So $A' = A_v$.

(8) \Rightarrow (2). This follows from Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 5.1. Let D be a Noetherian domain. Then the eight conditions of Theorem 5 are all equivalent. If either D is integrally closed or Gorenstein, then $(A \cap B)_v = A_v \cap B_v$ and $(A : B)_v = (A_v : B_v)_D$ for all $A, B \in f(D)$.

Recall that an integral domain D is called a Prüfer v -multiplication domain (PVMD) if the finite type v -ideals form a group under v -multiplication: $A * B = (AB)_v$. Equivalently, D is a PVMD if and only if D_P is a valuation domain for each maximal t -ideal P of D . For this and other results on PVMD's, the reader is referred to [3]. In [1] we showed that if D is an essential domain (i.e., $D = \bigcap D_Q$ where $\{Q\}$ is a set of prime ideals of D with each D_Q being a valuation domain), then $(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n)_v = A_{1v} \cap \dots \cap A_{nv}$ for all $A_1, \dots, A_n \in f(D)$. We also showed that if D is integrally closed and $(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n)_v = A_{1v} \cap \dots \cap A_{nv}$ for all $A_1, \dots, A_n \in f(D)$, then D is a v -domain (i.e., for each $A \in f(D)$, $(AA^{-1})_v = D$). Now a PVMD is both an essential domain and a v -domain. However, neither an

essential domain nor a v -domain need be a PVMD. We have been unable to characterize the integrally closed domains D for which $(A \cap B)_v = A_v \cap B_v$ for all $A, B \in F(D)$. However, if we replace the v -operation by the t -operation, our next result gives a satisfactory answer. The implication (6) \Rightarrow (1) of Theorem 6 is due to B. Kang [6].

THEOREM 6. Let D be an integrally closed domain. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) D is a PVMD.
- (2) $(A \cap B)_t = A_t \cap B_t$ for all $A, B \in F(D)$.
- (3) $(A \cap B)_t = A_t \cap B_t$ for all finitely generated nonzero integral ideals A and B of D .
- (4) $(A : B)_D^t = (A_t : B_t)_D$ for all $A \in F(D)$ and $B \in f(D)$.
- (5) $(A : B)_D^t = (A_t : B_t)_D$ for all finitely generated nonzero integral ideals A and B of D .
- (6) $A_t = \cap \{AD_p \mid P \in t\text{-Max}(D)\}$.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 4.2 that (2)-(6) are equivalent.

(1) \Rightarrow (6). This implication is well-known.

(6) \Rightarrow (1). Let $0 \neq a, b \in D$. Since D is integrally closed, $(a^2, b^2)_t = ((a, b)^2)_t$. $((a^2, b^2)_t)$

$$= (a^2, b^2)_v = (A_{a^2X^2 - b^2})_v = (A_{(aX+b)(aX-b)})_v$$

$$= (A_{aX+b} A_{aX-b})_v = ((a, b)^2)_v = ((a, b)^2)_t \quad \text{using [2, Proposition 34.8].}$$
 Hence $ab \in (a^2, b^2)_t$. So for each maximal t -ideal P , $ab \in (a^2, b^2)_t D_P$. Since D_P is integrally closed, D_P is a valuation domain [2, Theorem 24.3]. Since D_P is a valuation domain for each maximal t -ideal P , D is a PVMD.

In [1], an integral domain D was defined to be a crescent domain if $\cap A_{\alpha v} = (\cap A_{\alpha})_v$ for each collection $\{A_{\alpha}\} \subseteq f(D)$ with $\cap A_{\alpha} \neq 0$. We remarked that a Prüfer domain and a one-dimensional Gorenstein domain are crescent domains. We also showed that in a Mori crescent domain, every maximal v -ideal is a maximal ideal. A modification of the proof of this result combined with Corollary 4.1 shows that in a Mori crescent domain D , every nonzero ideal is a v -ideal; so D must be a one-dimensional Gorenstein domain. However, this method of proof easily extends to a general finite character $*$ -operation.

THEOREM 7. Let D be an integral domain and let $*$ be a finite character $*$ -operation on D . Then $(\cap A_{\alpha})^* = \cap A_{\alpha}^*$ for every collection $\{A_{\alpha}\} \subseteq f(D)$ with $\cap A_{\alpha} \neq 0$ if and only if every element of $F(D)$ is a $*$ -ideal (i.e., $*$ is the identity $*$ -operation).

Proof. The implication (\Leftarrow) is obvious.

(\Rightarrow) . By Corollary 4.1 it suffices to show that every maximal ideal M of D is a $*$ -ideal. Suppose that M is a maximal ideal of D that is not a $*$ -ideal. Then $M^* = D$. Since $*$ has finite character, there is a finitely generated ideal $I \subseteq M$ with $I^* = D$. Let $S = \{I \subseteq M \mid I \text{ is a finitely generated ideal with } I^* = D\}$. Then $S \neq \emptyset$ and S is closed under finite products. Let $0 \neq x \in M$. Shrink M to a prime ideal P minimal over (x) . It follows from [5, Théorème 9] that P is a $*$ -ideal. Now $J = \bigcap \{(x) + I \mid I \in S\} \neq 0$, so $J^* = \bigcap \{((x) + I)^* \mid I \in S\} = \bigcap D = D$. Hence there is a finitely generated ideal $J_0 \subseteq J \subseteq M$ with $J_0^* = D$. Now $J_0 \subseteq J \subseteq (x) + J_0^2 \subseteq P + J_0^2$. Hence, in the integral domain $\bar{D} = D/P$, $\bar{J}_0 = \bar{J}_0^2$. Since \bar{J}_0 is finitely generated, $\bar{J}_0 = \bar{0}$, so $J_0 \subseteq P$. Hence $D = J_0^* \subseteq P^*$, a contradiction.

COROLLARY 7.1. Let D be a Mori domain. Then D is a crescent domain if and only if D is a one-dimensional Gorenstein domain.

We remark that Theorem 7 is not true without the hypothesis that $*$ has finite character. For it is easily proved that for any collection $\{A_\alpha\} \subseteq F(V)$ with $\bigcap A_\alpha \neq 0$ where V is a valuation domain, we have $\bigcap A_{\alpha v} = (\bigcap A_\alpha)_v$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge the support services provided at University House, The University of Iowa. The author wishes to thank B. Kang and M. Zafrullah for several useful conversations concerning \ast -operations. The author also wishes to thank the referee for several very helpful comments.

REFERENCES

1. D.D. Anderson, D.F. Anderson, D.L. Costa, D.E. Dobbs, J.L. Mott, and M. Zafrullah, Some characterizations of v -domains and related properties, preprint.
2. R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
3. M. Griffin, Some remarks on v -multiplication rings, *Can. J. Math.*, 19 (1967), 710-722.
4. E.G. Houston, T.G. Lucas, and T.M. Viswanathan, Primary decomposition of divisorial ideals in Mori domains, preprint.
5. P. Jaffard, Les Systems d'Ideaux, Dunod, Paris, 1960.
6. B. Kang, \ast -Operations on Integral Domains, Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Iowa, 1987.
7. I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, Revised Edition, University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1974.
8. M. Zafrullah, The v -operation and intersections of quotient rings of integral domains, *Comm. Alg.*, 13(8) (1985), 1699-1712.

Received: April 1987
Revised: May 1987