

## WEAKLY FACTORIAL DOMAINS AND GROUPS OF DIVISIBILITY

D. D. ANDERSON AND MUHAMMAD ZAFRULLAH

(Communicated by Louis J. Ratliff, Jr.)

ABSTRACT. An integral domain  $R$  is said to be weakly factorial if every nonunit of  $R$  is a product of primary elements. We give several conditions equivalent to  $R$  being weakly factorial. For example, we show that the following conditions are equivalent: (1)  $R$  is weakly factorial; (2) every convex directed subgroup of the group of divisibility of  $R$  is a cardinal summand; (3) if  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  minimal over a proper principal ideal  $(x)$ , then  $P$  has height one and  $(x)_P \cap R$  is principal; (4)  $R = \bigcap R_P$ , where the intersection runs over the height-one primes of  $R$ , is locally finite, and the  $t$ -class group of  $R$  is trivial.

Throughout this note,  $R$  will be a commutative integral domain with identity having quotient field  $K$ . Suppose that  $R$  is a UFD. If  $S$  is a saturated multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ , then  $S = \{\lambda p_1 \cdots p_n \mid \lambda \text{ a unit of } R, n \geq 0, \text{ each } (p_i) \in Y\}$  for some subset  $Y \subseteq X^{(1)}$ , where  $X^{(1)}$  is the set of height-one prime ideals of  $R$ . Conversely, every such subset  $Y \subseteq X^{(1)}$  determines a saturated multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . If we set  $T = \{\lambda p_1 \cdots p_n \mid \lambda \text{ a unit of } R, n \geq 0, \text{ each } (p_i) \in X^{(1)} - Y\}$ , then every nonzero element  $r$  of  $R$  may be written uniquely up to units in the form  $r = st$  where  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ . Stated in terms of the group of divisibility  $G(R)$  of  $R$ ,  $\langle S \rangle$  is a cardinal summand of  $G(R)$ . In fact,  $\langle S \rangle \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} \langle T \rangle = G(R)$ . (See the next paragraph for definitions.) Here  $G(R)$  is a cardinal sum of copies of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , one for each height-one prime, and  $\langle S \rangle$  is the sum of the cyclic summands corresponding to the primes from  $Y$ . The purpose of this paper is to characterize the integral domains with this property. We show that an integral domain  $R$  has the property that every convex directed subgroup of  $G(R)$  is a cardinal summand of  $G(R)$  if and only if every nonunit of  $R$  is a product of primary elements, that is,  $R$  is weakly factorial.

Let  $R$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ . The *group of divisibility of  $R$*  is the group  $G(R) = K^*/U(R)$ , where  $K^*$  is the multiplicative group of  $K$  and  $U(R)$  is the group units of  $R$ .  $G(R)$  is partially ordered

---

Received by the editors July 1, 1989 and, in revised form, November 6, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 13A05, 13A17, 13F99, 06F20.

\*This paper was written while the second author was visiting the University of Iowa.

©1990 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/90 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

by  $xU(R) \leq yU(R) \Leftrightarrow x|y$  in  $R$ . For results on partially ordered abelian groups and groups of divisibility, the reader is referred to [6, Chapter 3; 10]. If  $S$  is a saturated multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ , then it is easily seen that  $\langle S \rangle = \{s_1 s_2^{-1} U(R) | s_1, s_2 \in S\}$  is a convex directed subgroup of  $G(R)$ . Conversely, Mott [10, Theorem 2.1] has shown that every convex directed subgroup of  $G(R)$  has this form. A subgroup  $H$  of  $G(R)$  is called a *cardinal summand* of  $G(R)$  if there is a convex directed subgroup  $L$  of  $G(R)$  with  $G(R) = H \oplus_C L$ , that is,  $G(R)$  is the algebraic direct sum of  $H$  and  $L$  and the partial order on  $G(R) = H \oplus L$  is given by  $(h_1, l_1) \leq (h_2, l_2) \Leftrightarrow h_1 \leq h_2$  and  $l_1 \leq l_2$ . Mott and Schexnayder [11, Proposition 4.1] have shown that a saturated multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  of  $R$  has  $\langle S \rangle$  as a cardinal summand of  $G(R)$  if and only if there is a saturated multiplicatively closed subset  $T$  of  $R$  with  $S \cap T = U(R)$  such that every nonzero element  $r$  of  $R$  can be written uniquely up to units in the form  $r = st$ , where  $s \in S$ ,  $t \in T$  and each element  $s$  of  $S$  is  $v$ -coprime to each element  $t \in T$  in the sense that  $(s, t)_v = R$  or, equivalently, that  $(s) \cap (t) = (st)$ . Here  $v$  denotes the  $v$ -operation, that is, for an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ,  $I_v = (I^{-1})^{-1} = [R : [R : I]]$ .

An element  $x \in R$  is said to be *primary* if  $(x)$  is a primary ideal of  $R$  and an integral domain  $R$  is said to be *weakly factorial* if every (nonzero) nonunit of  $R$  is a finite product of primary elements. Weakly factorial domains were introduced in [2]. Examples of weakly factorial domains include UFD's, one-dimensional semi-quasi-local domains, and GCD domains which are generalized Krull domains. It was shown that, for  $R$  weakly factorial,  $R = \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} R_P$ , where the intersection is locally finite. Also, a Krull domain is factorial if and only if it is weakly factorial. Hence, a weakly factorial Noetherian domain is factorial if and only if it is integrally closed.

For a Krull domain  $R$ , the intersection  $R = \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} R_P$  is locally finite, and  $R$  is factorial if and only if  $Cl(R) = 0$  where  $Cl(R)$  is the divisor class group of  $R$ . Since the divisorial ideals form a group under the  $v$ -product  $(A*B = (AB)_v)$  if and only if  $R$  is completely integrally closed,  $Cl(R)$  need not be a group for an arbitrary integral domain. However, for any integral domain  $R$ , there is a group  $Cl_t(R)$  called the  $t$ -class group of  $R$  which agrees with  $Cl(R)$  when  $R$  is a Krull domain. A nonzero fractional ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be  $t$ -invertible if there is a fractional ideal  $B$  of  $R$  with  $(AB)_t = R$ . Here  $t$  denotes the  $t$ -operation given by  $I_t = \cup \{(a_1, \dots, a_n)_v | 0 \neq (a_1, \dots, a_n) \subseteq I\}$ . An ideal  $I$  is said to be a  $t$ -ideal if  $I_t = I$ . The  $t$ -operation is an example of a finite-character star operation. For results on star operations, the reader is referred to [6, §§32 and 34]. For any integral domain  $R$ , the set of  $t$ -invertible  $t$ -ideals forms a group under the  $t$ -product  $A*B = (AB)_t$ . The  $t$ -class group of  $R$  is  $Cl_t(R)$ —the group of  $t$ -invertible  $t$ -ideals modulo the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. For results on the  $t$ -class group, the reader is referred to [4, 5]. We show that, for a domain  $R$ ,  $R$  is weakly factorial if and only if  $R = \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} R_P$  is locally finite and  $Cl_t(R) = 0$ . This result is part of the next theorem, the main result of this paper.

**Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every convex directed subgroup of  $G(R)$  is a cardinal summand of  $G(R)$ .*
- (2) *For each saturated multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  of  $R$ ,  $\langle S \rangle$  is a cardinal summand of  $G(R)$ .*
- (3) *For each prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ ,  $\langle R - P \rangle$  is a cardinal summand of  $G(R)$ .*
- (4)  *$R$  is weakly factorial, that is, every nonunit of  $R$  is a product of primary elements.*
- (5)  *$R = \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} R_P$  where the intersection is locally finite and the natural map  $G(R) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{P \in X^{(1)}} G(R_P)$  is surjective (and hence an order isomorphism).*
- (6) *If  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  minimal over a proper principal ideal  $(x)$ , then  $htP = 1$  and  $(x)_P \cap R$  is principal.*
- (7)  *$R = \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} R_P$ , where the intersection is locally finite and  $Cl_t(R) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). This follows from the previously mentioned result of Mott [10, Theorem 2.1] that every convex directed subgroup of  $G(R)$  has the form  $\langle S \rangle$  for some saturated multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  of  $R$ , and conversely. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Clear. (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Let  $P$  be a prime ideal minimal over  $(x)$ . Then  $P$  is a  $t$ -ideal. Suppose that there is a prime ideal  $Q$  with  $0 \neq Q \subsetneq P$ . Let  $S = R - Q$ . Then  $\langle S \rangle$  is a cardinal summand of  $G(R)$ . By [11, Proposition 4.1] there is a multiplicatively closed subset  $T$  of  $R$  with  $S \cap T = U(R)$ ,  $ST = R - \{0\}$ , and, for  $s \in S$ , and  $t \in T$ ,  $(s, t)_v = R$ . Now  $P \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , so there exists an  $s_0 \in P \cap S$ . Let  $0 \neq q \in Q$ , so  $q = st$  where  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ . Then  $s \notin Q$ , so  $t \in Q$ . Thus  $s_0, t \in P$  and hence  $R = (s_0, t)_v \subset P_t = P$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $htP = 1$ . (This shows that, in a weakly factorial domain, every prime  $t$ -ideal has height one.) It follows from [11, Proposition 4.1], taking  $S = R - P$ , that  $(x)_P \cap R$  is principal. (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Let  $S$  be the multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  consisting of all products of primary elements and units. We first show that  $S$  is saturated. Let  $x \in S$  be a nonunit and  $y$  be a nonunit factor of  $x$ . If  $x = q_1 \cdots q_r$ , where  $(q_i)$  is  $P_i$ -primary, then  $\{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$  is the set of height-one primes containing  $(x)$ . Hence the set of height-one primes containing  $(y)$  is a subset of  $\{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$ , say  $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ . Now  $(y)_{P_1} \cap R$  is principal, say  $(y)_{P_1} \cap R = (q'_1)$ . Since  $\dim R_{P_1} = 1$ ,  $(q'_1)$  is  $P_1$ -primary. Now  $y \in (q'_1)$ , so  $y = q'_1 t_1$  where  $t_1 \notin P_1$ , but  $t_1 \in P_2 \cap \cdots \cap P_n$ . Continuing in this manner, we get that  $y = q'_1 \cdots q'_n t_n$ , where  $(q'_i)$  is  $P_i$ -primary and  $t_n$  is contained in no height-one prime ideal, hence a unit. Suppose that some nonunit  $a \in R$  is not in  $S$ . Then  $(a) \cap S = \emptyset$  since  $S$  is saturated. Hence  $(a)$  can be enlarged to a prime ideal  $P \supseteq (a)$  maximal with respect to missing  $S$ . Shrinking  $P$  to a prime ideal  $Q$  minimal over  $(a)$ , we have a height-one prime ideal  $Q$  containing no primary elements. But this is absurd, for the ideal  $(a)_Q \cap R$  is itself a principal primary ideal contained in  $Q$ . (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $R$  be a weakly factorial integral domain and let  $S$  be a saturated multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . Let  $x \in S$  be a

nonunit and suppose that  $x = q_1 \cdots q_n$  where  $(q_i)$  is  $P_i$ -primary. Let  $(q)$  be  $P_i$ -primary. Then, for some  $s \geq 1$ ,  $q_i^s \in (q)$ , so  $q_i^s = rq$  for some  $r \in R$ ; hence  $q$  is a factor of  $x^s \in S$ . Since  $S$  is saturated,  $q \in S$ . Thus it is easily seen that  $S = \{\lambda q_1 \cdots q_n \mid \lambda \in U(R), n \geq 0, \text{ each } (q_i) \text{ is } P_i\text{-primary where } P_i \in X^{(1)} \text{ with } P_i \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$ . Let  $T = \{\lambda q_1 \cdots q_n \mid \lambda \in U(R), n \geq 0, (q_i) \text{ is } P_i\text{-primary where } P_i \cap S = \emptyset\}$ . Then  $T$  is a saturated multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  satisfying the conditions of part (2) of [11, Proposition 4.1]. Hence by this proposition,  $\langle S \rangle$  is a cardinal summand of  $G(R)$ . (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). By [2, Corollary 14],  $R = \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} R_P$  and this intersection is locally finite. Let  $0 \neq t \in R_P$  be a nonunit where  $P \in X^{(1)}$ . Then  $t = qu$  where  $(q)$  is  $P$ -primary and  $u$  is a unit of  $R_P$ . Thus  $qU(R) \rightarrow tU(R_P)$ . It easily follows that the natural map  $G(R) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{P \in X^{(1)}} G(R_P)$  is surjective and hence is an order isomorphism. (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Let  $0 \neq r \in R$  be a nonunit. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  be the height-one prime ideals containing  $(r)$ . Now, since the natural map  $G(R) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{P \in X^{(1)}} G(R_P)$  is surjective, for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , there exists a  $k_i \in K$  with  $k_i U(R_{P_i}) = rU(R_{P_i})$  and  $k_i U(R_P) = 1U(R_P)$  for each  $P \in X^{(1)} - \{P_i\}$ . Note that each  $k_i \in \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} R_P = R$ . Moreover, since  $P_i$  is the only height-one prime ideal containing  $(k_i)$ ,  $(k_i) = \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} (k_i R_P) = k_i R_{P_i} \cap R$  is  $P_i$ -primary. Now  $rU(R_P) = k_1 \cdots k_n U(R_P)$  for each  $P \in X^{(1)}$ , so  $r = uk_1 \cdots k_n$ , where  $u$  is a unit of  $R$ . (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (7). By [2, Corollary 14],  $R = \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} R_P$ , where the intersection is locally finite. Let  $A$  be a  $t$ -invertible ideal of  $R$ . We must show that  $A_t$  is principal. We may assume that  $A$  is an integral ideal. We have already observed that every maximal  $t$ -ideal of  $R$  has height one. Hence, by a result of Griffin [7, Proposition 4],  $A_P$  is principal for each  $P \in X^{(1)}$ . Moreover, since the intersection is locally finite,  $A_P = R_P$  except, say, for  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in X^{(1)}$ . But at  $P_i$ ,  $A_{P_i} = q_i R_{P_i}$ , where  $(q_i)$  is  $P_i$ -primary. So  $A \subseteq (q_i)_{P_i} \cap R = (q_i)$  and hence  $A \subseteq (q_1) \cap \cdots \cap (q_n) = (q_1 \cdots q_n)$ . Thus  $A = q_1 \cdots q_n A'$ , where  $A'$  is contained in no height-one prime ideals. Hence  $A'_t = R$ . So  $A_t = (q_1 \cdots q_n A')_t = (q_1 \cdots q_n A'_t)_t = (q_1 \cdots q_n)_t = (q_1 \cdots q_n)$  is principal. (7)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Let  $0 \neq x \in R$  be a nonunit. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  be the height-one prime ideals containing  $(x)$ . Let  $Q_i = (x)_{P_i} \cap R$ , so  $(x) = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ , where  $Q_i$  is  $P_i$ -primary. Now  $x^{-1}Q_1 \cdots Q_n$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $x^{-1}Q_1 \cdots Q_n R_P = R_P$  for each height-one prime ideal of  $R$ . Hence, by [1, Theorem 1],  $(x^{-1}Q_1 \cdots Q_n)^* = R$  where  $*$  is the finite character star operation given by  $A^* = \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} AR_P$ . Since  $*$  has finite character,  $R = (x^{-1}Q_1 \cdots Q_n)^* \subseteq (x^{-1}Q_1 \cdots Q_n)_t \subseteq R$ , so  $(x^{-1}Q_1 \cdots Q_n)_t = R$ . Thus each  $Q_i$  is  $t$ -invertible and hence  $Q_{it} = (q_i)$  for some  $q_i \in R$ . Also,  $(x) = (Q_1 \cdots Q_n)_t = (Q_{1t} \cdots Q_{nt})_t = ((q_1))_t \cdots ((q_n))_t = (q_1) \cdots (q_n)$ . Now  $(q_i) \supseteq Q_i$  and hence  $(q_i)_P = R_P$  except possibly at  $P = P_i$ . So  $Q_i = (x)_{P_i} \cap R = (q_i)_{P_i} \cap R = q_i R_{P_i} \cap (\bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)} - \{P_i\}} q_i R_P) = (q_i)$ . Hence  $(q_i)$  is  $P_i$ -primary, so  $x$  is a product of primary elements.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian integral domain. Then  $R$  is weakly factorial if and only if every grade-one prime ideal has height one and  $Cl_t(R) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). A grade-one prime ideal is contained in a maximal  $t$ -ideal and hence has height one. By the previous theorem,  $Cl_t(R) = 0$ . ( $\Leftarrow$ ). If every grade-one prime ideal has height one,  $R = \bigcap_{P \in X^{(1)}} R_P$ , where the intersection is locally finite [8, Theorem 53]. By the previous theorem,  $R$  is weakly factorial.  $\square$

In the spirit of Mott [9], we use the Theorem and the Krull–Kaplansky–Jaffard–Ohm Theorem to obtain a result of A. Bigard (see, for example, [3, Theoreme 14.4.3, pp. 290–291]) concerning lattice ordered groups.

**Corollary 2** (A. Bigard). *A lattice ordered abelian group  $G$  is a cardinal sum of Archimedean totally ordered groups if and only if every convex directed subgroup of  $G$  is a cardinal summand of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a lattice ordered abelian group. By the Krull–Kaplansky–Jaffard–Ohm Theorem ([6, Theorem 18.6] or [9, Theorem 2.1]),  $G$  is order isomorphic to the group of divisibility of a Bézout domain  $R$ . By the Theorem,  $G(R)$ , and hence  $G$ , has the property that every convex directed subgroup is a cardinal summand if and only if  $R$  is weakly factorial. But by [2, Theorem 21] a Bézout domain  $R$  is weakly factorial if and only if  $G(R)$  is order isomorphic to a cardinal sum of rank one (equivalently, Archimedean) totally ordered abelian groups.  $\square$

As previously stated, examples of weakly factorial domains include UFD's and one-dimensional semi-quasi-local domains. Actually, it is easily seen that a one-dimensional domain  $R$  is weakly factorial if and only if  $R$  is Laskerian (i.e., every ideal has a primary decomposition) and  $\text{Pic}(R) = 0$ . Also, an integral domain that is both a GCD-domain and a generalized Krull domain is weakly factorial. (An integral domain  $R$  is called a *generalized Krull domain* if  $R = \bigcap V_\lambda$ , where each  $V_\lambda$  is an essential rank one valuation overring of  $R$  and the intersection is locally finite.) In fact, according to [2, Theorem 20], the following conditions on an integral domain  $R$  are equivalent: (1)  $R$  is a weakly factorial GCD-domain; (2)  $R$  is weakly factorial generalized Krull domain; and (3)  $R$  is a generalized Krull domain and a GCD-domain. To this list may be added (4)  $R$  is weakly factorial and, for each height-one prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  (equivalently, maximal  $t$ -ideal  $P$  of  $R$ ),  $R_P$  is a valuation domain and (5)  $R$  is weakly factorial and if  $p$  and  $q$  are non  $v$ -coprime primary elements of  $R$ , then  $p|q$  or  $q|p$ . (Use [2, Theorem 18] and its proof). We next give another source of weakly factorial domains.

**Example.** Let  $K \subseteq L$  be fields. Then  $R = K + XL[X] = \{f(X) \in L[X] \mid f(0) \in K\}$  is an atomic weakly factorial domain. It is easily seen that every nonzero nonunit of  $R$  may be written uniquely in one of the following two forms:  $p_1 \cdots p_n$  or  $p_1 \cdots p_n(aX^r)$ , where  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are principal primes of  $R$  and  $aX^r$  ( $r \geq 1, a \in L$ ) is primary.

It is well known [8, Theorem 5] that an integral domain  $R$  is a UFD if and only if every nonzero prime ideal contains a nonzero principal prime ideal. The proof is based on the fact that the set of principal primes forms a saturated multiplicatively closed set. A similar result for weakly factorial domains involving primary elements is not true.

For let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain that is not a PID, but has torsion class group. Since some power of each prime ideal is principal, each prime ideal contains a principal primary ideal, but  $R$  is not weakly factorial. In this case, the multiplicatively closed set of all products of primary elements is not saturated. As a concrete example, suppose that  $R$  has nonprincipal maximal ideals  $M$  and  $N$  with  $M^2 = (x)$ ,  $N^2 = (y)$ , and  $MN = (z)$ . Then  $(z^2) = (MN)^2 = M^2N^2 = (xy)$ . So  $z^2$  is a product of primary elements, but  $z$  itself is not. Note that  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are all irreducible. Thus a single irreducible element of a Krull domain may be primary without being prime. However, a Krull domain is a UFD if and only if each irreducible element is primary.

However, for any integral domain  $R$  and any nonzero prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ , it is easily proved that  $S_P = \{\lambda p_1 \cdots p_n \mid \lambda \in U(R), n \geq 0, (p_i) \text{ is } P\text{-primary}\}$  is a saturated multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . Let  $S$  be the multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  consisting of all products of primary elements. Then, in  $G(R)$ ,  $\langle S \rangle = \bigoplus \langle S_P \rangle$  where the direct sum runs over all nonzero primes  $P$  of  $R$  with  $P$  containing a  $P$ -primary element. Even though each  $\langle S_P \rangle$  is a convex directed subgroup of  $G(R)$ ,  $\bigoplus \langle S_P \rangle$  need not be convex, in fact,  $\bigoplus \langle S_P \rangle$  is convex if and only if  $S$  is saturated. Returning to the case where  $R$  is a Dedekind domain with torsion class group but is not a PID, we see that each  $\langle S_P \rangle$  is order isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ . It is interesting to note that in this case we have  $\bigoplus_{P \in X^{(1)}} \langle S_P \rangle \subsetneq G(R) \subsetneq \bigoplus_{P \in X^{(1)}} G(R_P)$ , with  $\bigoplus_{P \in X^{(1)}} \langle S_P \rangle$  being a directed lattice ordered subgroup of  $G(R)$  and  $G(R)$  being a directed lattice ordered subgroup of  $\bigoplus_{P \in X^{(1)}} G(R_P)$ . Moreover, all three groups are (algebraically) isomorphic to a direct sum of  $|X^{(1)}|$  copies of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. D. D. Anderson, *Star-operations induced by overrings*, Comm. Alg. **16** (1988), 2535–2553.
2. D. D. Anderson and L. A. Mahaney, *On primary factorizations*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **54** (1988), 141–154.
3. A. Bigard, K. Keimel, and S. Wolfenstein, *Groupes et anneaux réticulés*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 608, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
4. A. Bouvier, *Le groupe des classes d'un anneau intègre*, 107<sup>e</sup> Congrès national des Sociétés savantes, Brest, 1982, sciences, fasc. IV, 85–92.
5. A. Bouvier and M. Zafrullah, *On some class groups of an integral domain*, Bull. Soc. Math. Grèce (to appear).
6. R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
7. M. Griffin, *Some results on  $v$ -multiplication rings*, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 710–722.
8. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, revised ed., The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1974.

9. J. L. Mott, *The group of divisibility and its application*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 311, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972, 194–208.
10. —, *Convex directed subgroups of a group of divisibility*, *Canad. J. Math.* **26** (1974), 532–542.
11. J. L. Mott and M. Schexnayder, *Exact sequences of semi-value groups*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **283/284** (1976), 388–401.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IOWA 52242

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WINTHROP COLLEGE, ROCK HILL, SOUTH CAROLINA 29733